

Jacob Turner. pff } On an attachment
vs
Mial James Esq }
Dismissed & no prosecution

William Blundell Esq. pff }
Sarah Moore. James Moore }
& Rich^d Blom admors of } Esq } In Chancery
Thos Moore decia. }

The defendant not having filed his answer to the plaintiffs bill of complaint on the motion of the said plaintiff by his council It is ordered that an attachment issue against the said defendant returnable at the next court

John Cutler pff } In trover and conversation
vs
Ephistone Cary Esq }
The defendant by his attorney comes and defends the force and injury wherein &c^e and saith that he is not guilty in manner and form as the plaintiff in this declaration against him hath complained and that he prays may be enquired of by the plaintiff and the county likewise

John Dunlop
admon of Tho. Fisher pff } In Debt
vs
John Pope. Esq }
The attachment awarded against the estate of the defendant being returned & executed on the 11th Whereupon this day came, as well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant in his proper person who confesses the plaintiffs action for twenty pounds eleven shillings and one penny the debt in the declaration mentioned. Therefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the said twenty pounds eleven shillings and one penny and his cost by him about his suit in this behalf expended and the said defendant in mercy &c^e. But this judgement except as to the costs to be discharged by the payment of six pounds thirteen shillings and eleven pence three farthings together with interest from the 22^d Aug^r 1791 till paid. Orderd that the Sheriff release the attached effects.

Peter & In^o Herries pff } In Chancery
vs
John Herries Esq }
continued for another